UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,011	09/30/2003	Andrew R. Ferlitsch	10237.34	7263
65400 KIRTON & MO	7590 03/10/200 CCONKIE	EXAMINER		
1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER / 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE P.O. BOX 45120 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			HUNTSINGER, PETER K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/677	,011	FERLITSCH, ANDREW R.		
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit		
		Peter K	. Huntsinger	2625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an of will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply d will expire SIX (6) MONTH: application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters	·	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the at the state of the above claim(s) is/at Claim(s) is/at Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restricted.	re withdrawn from				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) -	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the path or declaration is objected to the path of the path	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance uired if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice Notice (3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/677,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. The applicant has not traversed the examiner's assertion of official notice for the assertions provided in claims 8. Therefore, the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 9, 10 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frolik '804 in view of Scheidig '565 and Simpson '803.

Referring to **claim 1**, Frolik '804 discloses in a printing environment, a method for specifying application specific printing requirements for an arbitrary printing device, the method comprising:

providing a printing device having a plurality of default device setting sets (col. 2, lines 18-26, printer settings);

configuring one of the default device setting sets by selectively associating an application specific name with one of:

- (i) a standard default setting set; and
- (ii) an application specific default setting (col. 2, lines 3-10, user-selected sets are associated with the application or globally associated with the computer system);

identifying which of the default device setting sets are to be used in rendering a particular print job (block 349 of Fig. 6, col. 9, lines 22-34, checks for application specific printer settings).

Frolik '804 does not disclose expressly storing the plurality of default device setting sets in the memory of the printing device.

Scheidig '565 discloses having a plurality of default device setting sets stored in memory of the printing device (col. 3, lines 51-67, 1-2, printer includes control panel which stores plurality of setup data sets [see Fig. 2] comprising control data).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to store printer settings in the memory of the printer. The motivation for doing so would have been to store data locally to increase retrieval speed and shorten access time.

Frolik '804 does not disclose expressly rendering the print job without further preparation of the print data regardless of the default print setting set selected.

Simpson '803 discloses rendering the print job without further preparation of the print data (col. 1, lines 39-51, computer generates a print job without the use of a print driver).

Page 4

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to generate a document without using a printer driver. The motivation for doing so would have been to eliminate the need to specifically configure a computer to make use of many printers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Scheidig '565 and Simpson '803 with Frolik '804 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Referring to **claim 2**, Frolik '804 discloses wherein the plurality of default device setting sets comprise:

- (i) a factory default setting set;
- (ii) the standard default setting set; and
- (iii) the application specific default setting set (col. 5, lines 6-16, default preferences).

Referring to **claim 3**, Frolik '804 discloses wherein identifying which of the default device setting sets are to be used in rendering a particular print job is performed based on a characteristic of the print job (block 349 of Fig. 6., col. 9, lines 22-34, document and application specific printer settings).

Referring to **claim 4**, Frolik '804 discloses the characteristic is one of:

- (i) a job name;
- (ii) a user name;
- (iii) an account code; and
- (iv) a department code (col. 8, lines 15-33, printer settings selected with preference given to move narrowly-applicable sets of settings such as document-specific settings [i.e. unique to the individual print job]).

Application/Control Number: 10/677,011

Art Unit: 2625

Referring to **claim 9**, Frolik '804 discloses associating an application specific name with the application specific default setting to create a named default setting (col.

Page 5

2, lines 3-10, user-selected sets are associated with the application); and

allowing the standard default setting set to be unchanged (col. 7, lines 50-55, user need not change the global printer settings).

Referring to **claim 10**, Frolik '804 discloses configuring the print job based on the named default setting; and

modifying the named default setting by a job specific setting (block 343, col. 9, lines 14-21, document specific printer settings).

Referring to **claim 14**, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Referring to **claim 15**, see the rejection of claim 2 above.

Referring to **claim 16**, see the rejection of claim 3 above.

Referring to **claim 17**, Frolik '804 discloses wherein the characteristic is one of (i) a job name, (ii) a user name, (iii) a document name, (iv) an account code, and (v) a department code (col. 9, lines 22-34, document specific printer settings).

Referring to **claim 18**, see the rejection of claim 5 above.

Referring to **claim 19**, see the rejection of claim 9 above.

Referring to **claim 20**, see the rejection of claim 10 above.

5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frolik '804, Scheidig '565 and Simpson '803 as applied to claim 1 above, in further view of Cartier '654.

Referring to **claim 5**, Frolik '804 discloses configuring the default device setting set, but does not disclose expressly configuring one of the default device setting sets using a pseudo print job.

Cartier '654 discloses configuring a device setting using a pseudo print job and wherein the pseudo print job includes one or more commands that instruct the printing device to change and store in the memory of the printing device a setting of the default device setting set being configured (col. 8, lines 16-40, a user may modify a printer setting through a PJL command [i.e. a print job language command without print job data]).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure a printer setting using a PJL command. The motivation for doing so would have been to utilize a widely used high level printer language that can query or modify the value of certain printer settings.

Referring to **claim 6**, Cartier '654 discloses wherein the one or more commands comprises a print job language DEFAULT command (col. 8, lines 16-40, PJL command modifies the font priority print setting).

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frolik '804, Scheidig '565 and Simpson '803 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taniguchi '707.

Referring to **claim 7**, Frolik '804 discloses utilization of the application specific default setting, but does not disclose expressly an authentication procedure.

Taniguchi '707 discloses an authentication procedure (S609 of Fig. 6, col. 7, lines 21-23, user inputs password).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform an authentication procedure. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the user to keep desired information secret. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Taniguchi '707 with Frolik '804 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frolik '804, Scheidig '565 and Simpson '803 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of well known prior art.

Referring to **claim 8**, Frolik '804 discloses the application specific default setting but does not disclose expressly using a flag to prevent the application specific default setting from being changed.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known and obvious at the time of the invention to utilize a flag to prevent information from being changed (See MPEP 2144.03).

The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintentionally changing information. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine well known prior art with Frolik '804 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

8. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frolik '804 in view of Scheidig '565, Hawes '436 and Simpson '803.

Referring to **claim 11**, Frolik '804 discloses a printing device comprising: a plurality of default device setting sets (col. 2, lines 18-26, printer settings) wherein the default device setting sets are configured by selectively associating an application specific name with one of (i) a standard default setting set and (ii) an application specific default setting (col. 2, lines 3-10, user-selected sets are associated with the application or globally associated with the computer system), and wherein one of the default device setting sets is selected based on a characteristic of a particular print job and used at the printing device in rendering the particular print job (block 349 of Fig. 6, col. 9, lines 22-34, checks for application specific printer settings), wherein the characteristic is one of:
(i) a job name; (ii) a user name; (iii) an account code; and (iv) a department code (col. 8, lines 15-33, printer settings selected with preference given to move narrowly-applicable sets of settings such as document-specific settings [i.e. unique to the individual print job]).

Frolik '804 does not disclose expressly storing the plurality of default device setting sets in the memory of the printing device.

Scheidig '565 discloses having a plurality of default device setting sets stored in memory of the printing device (col. 3, lines 51-67, 1-2, printer includes control panel which stores plurality of setup data sets [see Fig. 2] comprising control data).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to store printer settings in the memory of the printer. The motivation for doing so would have been to store data locally to increase retrieval speed and shorten access time.

Frolik '804 does not disclose expressly configuring the default device setting sets with an embedded device web page.

Hawes '436 discloses configuring device settings with an embedded device web page (Fig. 4, col. 8, lines 3-30, devices 102, 106 includes services for setting properties with a browser).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure device settings using an embedded webpage. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a more convenient method for the user to modify printer settings.

Frolik '804 does not disclose expressly rendering the print job without further preparation of the print data regardless of the default print setting set selected.

Simpson '803 discloses rendering the print job without further preparation of the print data (col. 1, lines 39-51, computer generates a print job without the use of a print driver).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to generate a document without using a printer driver. The motivation for doing so would have been to eliminate the need to specifically configure a computer to make use of many printers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Scheidig '565, Hawes '436 and Simpson '803 with Frolik '804 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,011 Page 10

Art Unit: 2625

Referring to **claim 12**, see the rejection of claim 2 above.

Referring to claim 13, Frolik '804 discloses wherein the print job is one of:

(i) a fax job;

(ii) a scan job;

(iii) a copy job; and

(iv) a document management job (col. 2, lines 27-31, document retrieval).

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,011 Page 11

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter K. Huntsinger whose telephone number is (571)272-7435. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter K. Huntsinger/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625